

1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321)
United States Attorney

2 EUMI L. CHOI (WVSBN 0722)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 ROBERT DAVID REES (CASBN 229441)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6 San Francisco, California 94102
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7210
8 Fax: (415) 436-7234
Email: robert.rees@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. 3 05 70551
)	
14 Plaintiff,)	PROPOSED ORDER AND
)	STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE
15 v.)	FROM APRIL 20, 2006 TO MAY 25, 2006
)	AND EXCLUDING TIME FROM THE
16 ANTHONY TRAVIS,)	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION
)	(18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A)) AND
17 Defendant.)	WAIVING TIME LIMITS UNDER RULE
)	5.1

18
19 With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters
20 this order scheduling an arraignment or preliminary hearing date of May 25, 2006 at 9:30A.M.
21 before the duty magistrate judge, and documenting the defendant's waiver of the preliminary
22 hearing date under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and the exclusion of time under the
23 Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b), from April 20, 2006 to May 25, 2006. The parties agree,
24 and the Court finds and holds, as follows:

- 25 1. The defendant has been released on his own recognizance.
- 26 2. The defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §
- 27 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) to provide continuity of counsel and reasonable time necessary for effective
- 28 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

1 3. The defendant waives the time limits of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for
2 preliminary hearing.

3 4. Counsel for the defense believes that postponing the preliminary hearing is in his
4 client's best interest, and that it is not in his client's interest for the United States to indict the
5 case during the normal 20-day timeline established in Rule 5.1.

6 5. The Court finds that, taking into the account the public interest in the prompt
7 disposition of criminal cases, these grounds are good cause for extending the time limits for a
8 preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1. Given these circumstances,
9 the Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from April 20, 2006 to
10 May 25, 2006, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. §
11 3161(h)(8)(A).

12 6. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court (1) sets a preliminary
13 hearing date before the duty magistrate judge on May 25, 2006 at 9:30A.M., and (2) orders that
14 the period from April 20, 2006 to May 25, 2006 be excluded from the time period for preliminary
15 hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act calculations
16 under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

17
18 IT IS SO STIPULATED:

19
20 DATED: April 19, 2006

_____/s_____
RON TYLER
Attorney for Defendant

21
22
23 DATED: April 19, 2006

_____/s_____
ROBERT DAVID REES
Assistant United States Attorney

24
25
26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27
28 DATED: 4/24/06

